



AGENDA

Regular Meeting of the
SAN LUIS OBISPO BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Planning Conference Room, City Hall
990 Palm Street, San Luis Obispo

Note Location Change

March 17, 2005

Thursday

7 p.m.

MISSION:

The purpose of the Bicycle Advisory Committee is to provide oversight and policy direction on matters related to bicycle transportation in San Luis Obispo and its relationship to bicycling outside the City.

ROLL CALL: Glen Matteson (Chair), Kevin Christian (Vice Chair), Jean Anderson, Ben Lerner, and Phil Reimer (there are currently two vacancies)

PUBLIC COMMENT: At this time, the public is invited to address the Committee concerning items not on the agenda. Items raised are generally referred to the staff and, if action by the Committee is necessary, scheduled for a future meeting.

MINUTES: January 20, 2005 (Attachment 1)

ACTION ITEMS:

1. Bicycle Transportation Plan Update
 - a. Subcommittee's Bicycle Transportation Plan project priorities (Attachment 2)
 - b. Subcommittee's recommendations for Appendix K (Attachment 3)

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

2. Committee Member Items: Request for support of historical bicycle tour
Request for support for bicycle education
3. Staff Items: Tentative Agenda Items for Next Meeting

ADJOURN to the regularly scheduled meeting of May 19, 2005.

ACTION ITEMS:

Agenda Item #1: Bicycle Transportation Plan Update

This item is a continuation of the Committee's review and discussion of the Draft Bicycle Transportation Plan Update. At the meeting the Committee should review:

- a) The Bicycle Transportation Plan Project Priorities (see Jan. 20 agenda packet and Attachment 2). Prior to the meeting, Committee members should rank the remaining Bicycle Transportation Plan projects (which have also been sent to Committee members electronically) and email your results to staff or bring them to the meeting so all of the rankings can be consolidated by the subcommittee.
- b) Subcommittee recommended changes to Appendix K (Attachment 3)

Staff Recommendation: The Committee should discuss each of these issues; provide staff with direction and either 1) continue the item for further review; or 2) approve the draft plan and direct staff to return to the Committee with the final revised draft plan that incorporates the Committee's changes.

Note: *Please bring your copy of the January 20, 2005 and March 18, 2004 BAC Agenda packets which contain the March 2004 BAC Draft Bicycle Transportation Plan and some of the Bicycle Transportation Plan Project Priorities for use at the meeting. Text changes recommended by the Committee at the September 2004 BAC meeting are included in the January 20 agenda packet. The Draft Bicycle Transportation Plan is also available for review by the public on the Public Works page of the City's web site (www.slocity.org)*

Agenda Item #2: Committee Member Items

Request for support of "Pedaling the Past" historical bicycle tour
Request for support of bicycle education activities

Agenda Item # 3: Staff Items

Agenda Items for Next Meeting:

- _____
- _____
- _____

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Draft BAC minutes of January 20, 2005
2. Subcommittee's Bicycle Transportation Plan Priority Projects
3. Subcommittee's Bicycle Transportation Plan text changes

K:\Transportation Committees\Bike Committee\BACAgendas\2005\03.17.05 Agenda.doc

**SAN LUIS OBISPO BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES**

January 20, 2005

Thursday

7:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL: Glen Matteson (Chair), Kevin Christian (Vice Chair), Jean Anderson, Ben Lerner, Phil Reimer, Dixon Moore (absent).

Darren Brown has resigned from the committee.

STAFF: Planner Mandeville (Principal Transportation Planner), and Suzy Johnson (Clerical).

PUBLIC COMMENT:

The public deferred their comments to the discussion of each project on the Bicycle Transportation Plan Update.

MINUTES:

November 18, 2004 minutes – Chairperson Matteson asked for the following corrections/clarifications:

1. On page under public comment, first paragraph, fifth sentence, change "...does not cut through *to* the freeway" to "does not cut through *past* the freeway."
2. On page 3, fourth solid bullet, add "Regarding Class I bikeway projects," at the beginning of the sentence.
3. On page 6, first paragraph, first line, add "office" after City Clerk's.
4. On page 6, first paragraph, second line, remove the word "for" after "Planner Mandeville asked..."

Committee Member Matteson made a motion, seconded by committee Member Christian, that the minutes be approved as corrected. The motion passed unanimously.

ACTION ITEMS:

1) Bicycle Transportation Plan Update

- a) **Bicycle Plan Priority Projects:** Appendix K to the Bicycle Plan was introduced. Due to the complexity of the changes suggested to the Bicycle Plan projects during this meeting, the sub-committee will complete the requested revisions as an attachment to the minutes.

The Bicycle Plan Update sub-committee members Kevin Christian, Jean Anderson, and Ben Lerner have continued to work on defining and prioritizing the projects to be included in the Bicycle Plan.

Sub-committee Member Christian presented a PowerPoint presentation and discussion on the projects to be included in the plan and their rankings. The public was also asked for comment on each of the projects.

i) MIS-1 – Morro Street Bike Boulevard

In progress.

Public Comment:

Ryan Bermaster, SLO – The Morro Street bicycle boulevard was not the best reason for signaling the intersection at Santa Barbara; bicycles and vehicles use the same street. Left turn lane isn't needed so bike lanes should be put back in.

Helene Finger, SLO – Likes the bicycle boulevard project so far.

ii) MIS-2 – Montalban Street Bridge

Project has not been rewritten and needs to be addressed by the sub-committee.

Public Comment:

Peter Brown, SLO – Feels that prior City Council meeting minutes should be reviewed to determine if project was struck from the Bicycle Plan by the final action of the City Council. Follow-up for Planner Mandeville: SLOCOG has not received a letter asking that the money slated for the Montalban project be put into another project. Requests that letter be prepared and sent to SLOCOG board for review. Planner Mandeville stated that City Council did not remove MIS-2 from the Bicycle Plan and that it still needs to be listed.

iii) MIS-3 – South Street

Planner Mandeville suggested the removal of the words “the hazardous” from verbiage of project intent. The changes will be completed by sub-committee for attachment.

Public Comment:

Peter Brown, SLO – Stated that MIS-3 and MIS-4 projects are going to be implemented soon. Does not feel that sub-committee should spend time ranking these projects or rewriting their descriptions.

iv) MIS-4 – Orcutt Road

The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

Public Comment:

Refer to Peter Brown's comment on MIS-3.

v) MIS-5 – Highland Drive

The sub-committee feels that the project should include the entire intersection rather than one portion of intersection. Will change project description and intent to reflect need to consider intersection in its entirety.

Public Comment:

Peter Brown, SLO – Confused by term “bike slot” in project description. Suggested changing “bike slot” to “channelization” to clarify ambiguity.

Ryan Bermaster, SLO – Need to separate left hand turn traffic and through traffic to clear congestion.

vi) MIS-6 – Foothill and California

The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

Public Comment:

None.

vii) MIS-7 – South and Broad

The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

Public Comment:

Ryan Bermaster, SLO – Suggested that the project include a detection loop for the cyclists using the intersection. Planner Mandeville indicated that the city’s policy is to install video detection systems on any new project.

viii) MIS-8 – Madonna and South Higuera

Sub-committee indicated that there are three major problems with intersection. Showed problem areas on aerial photo of intersection. The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

Public Comment:

Ryan Bermaster, SLO – Suggested dotted line on Madonna to route cyclists. His primary objection is southbound travel through intersection.

- ix) **MIS-9 – Flora to Fixlini**
The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

Public Comment:

None.

- x) **MIS-10 – SR1 to Cuesta**
The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

Public Comment:

Peter Brown, SLO – Asked why MIS-5 and MIS-10 are being separated. Committee indicated that previous Bicycle Plans have listed them separately.

- xi) **MIS-11 – Marsh and California**
The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

Public Comment:

Ryan Bermaster, SLO – Has observed that student bicyclists go through crosswalk. Intersection needs signs to look for bicyclists. Real concern is left turn onto California. Suggests that engineering standards include a requirement for channelization at intersections with lights.

- xii) **MIS-12 – Gutters on Broad St.**
Project was completed in 2004.

- xiii) **MIS 13 – Peach and Stenner Creek**
Committee agreed that project should be deleted.

- xiv) **MIS 14 – Broad to Brizzolara**
The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

Public Comment:

Ryan Bermaster – Asked if intent was to close freeway ramps at Broad.

- xv) **MIS-15 – Brizzolara to Higuera**
Committee Member Anderson made a motion, seconded by Committee Member Matteson that the project be removed from the Bicycle Plan. The motion passed unanimously.

- xvi) **MIS-16 – Los Osos Valley Road and Calle Joaquin**
The committee suggested changes to project description and added intent statement. Refer to amended Bicycle Plan for details.

- xvii) **MIS-17 – Prefumo Road**
Project has been completed.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:**2) Committee Member Items:**

- a) **Committee Member Anderson** – Two non-profits are organizing “Pedaling the Past”, a historical bicycle tour of San Luis Obispo, on May 15, 2005. See attached flyer for information. Committee Member Anderson would like the Bicycle Advisory Committee to give their endorsement. Planner Mandeville indicated that this item must be added to the next agenda for consideration.
- b) **Committee Member Anderson** – As part of its educational goals, the Bicycle Advisory Committee should offer scholarships for those who want to take classes. Item will be added to next agenda.
- c) **Committee Member Christian** – Asked if committee members had reviewed letter of intent from sub-committee to review and rework language in Bicycle Plan. Planner Mandeville suggested that the committee conclude their review of the plan as soon as possible.
Public Comment: Ryan Bermaster, SLO – Suggested that the city’s priorities be reviewed and aligned with Bicycle Plan.
- d) **Committee Member Lerner** – Suggested that status of Committee Member Moore be ascertained so that his position could be filled if necessary. Planner Mandeville indicated that she would follow up to determine status.
- e) **Committee Member Reimer** – Commented that online application for Bicycle Advisory Committee members was confusing. Planner Mandeville explained how the website and online application work. Committee Member Reimer agreed that no changes were necessary to the website.

3) Staff Items:

- a) **Goal setting process** — Planner Mandeville gave an update on city's goal setting process. The city must cut \$2.4 million from its budget, which could result in layoffs of city personnel. Staff is recommending that the railroad safety trail go forward and \$25,000 be set aside annually for miscellaneous bicycle improvements, but these projects and others (including pavement projects) could be in jeopardy.

Agenda items for next regularly scheduled meeting:

- Bicycle Plan – Continuing review of projects and rankings.
- Bicycle Advisory Committee endorsement of "Pedaling the Past" event.
- Scholarships for bicyclist training.

The meeting ADJOURNED at 10:15 p.m. to the regularly scheduled meeting of March 17, 2005.

Project: Mis 2

Description: Bridge over Stenner Creek at Montalban.

Intent: The intent of this project is to provide cyclists who are South bound on Santa Rosa a convenient route to the Lincoln Street neighborhood and lower traffic access to downtown.

Class: I

School zone: BP

Pave section: 7

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	8
2 Jean	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	5
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	0.75	0.25	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	6.50

Notes: In 2004 the BAC downgraded this project in the old project ranking system from a Priority I, to a Priority II. This was done due to safety concerns for North bound cyclists and pedestrians at the Montalban / Santa Rosa intersection. There are no plans for

Project: MIS 19

Install bike lanes on both sides of Marsh from Fernandez Lane, under interchange to Marsh. May require modifications to ramp shoulders and landscaping. Project would provide connection to Cerro San Luis trailhead. Will likely require Caltrans approval.

Description:

Provide safe access to city Open Space. Current situation is dangerous for all.

Intent:

Class: 1 2

School zone: HA

Pave section: 4

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	0	3	5	3	3	2	1	2	0	2	21
2 Jean	0	4	5	4	1	3	1	2	0	2	22
3 Ben	0	2	2	2	4	4	1	2	0	0	17
4 Glen	1	4	4	3	1	3	2	2	0	2	22
5 Phil	1	4	5	4	3	3	1	1	0	0	22
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	0.40	3.40	4.20	3.20	2.40	3.00	1.20	1.80	0.00	1.20	20.80

Notes:

Project: Mis-20

Description: Install a southbound bike slot on S. Higuera @ LOVR

Shift the existing bike lane from the right hand edge, which is a right turn only lane RTOL, to the straight through lane.

Intent:

Class: II

School zone: HA

Pave section: 5

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	5	5	3	3	4	5	3	3	41
2 Jean	5	5	5	5	1	4	4	5	2	4	40
3 Ben	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	3	1	4	36
4 Glen	4	5	3	3	0	3	3	4	1	3	29
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.75	5.00	4.25	4.25	1.75	3.25	3.75	4.25	1.75	3.50	36.50

Notes:

Project: Mis 21

Description: Install access controls at the east end of San Luis Drive. San Luis Drive can provide a northbound access route onto U.S. 101 that allows bicyclists to bypass the busy Buena Vista interchange. Access controls must allow for emergency vehicles to enter off the freeway.

Intent: Provide alternate route for cyclists to access NB US 101.

Class:

School zone:

Pave section:

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin											0
2 Jean	1	3	3	3	0	0	1	4	0	5	20
3 Ben											0
4 Glen	1	3	3	3	0	2	1	3	1	3	20
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	3.50	0.50	4.00	13.33

Notes: Since there is a Fire Department lock on the gate and bicycles can ride around the gate, there doesn't appear to be a need for this project. The only concern would be if there are any planned modifications to this set up for the future.

Project: Mis-22

Description: Pave existing path from Boulevard Del Campo to Helena at north end of Sinsheimer Park.

Intent: Improve existing drainage.

Class: I

School zone: SI

Pave section: 2

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	1	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	13
2 Jean	4	2	4	1	0	3	3	4	4	2	27
3 Ben	2	3	3	0	0	3	1	2	4	0	18
4 Glen	3	3	4	1	0	3	3	4	3	1	25
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	2.50	2.50	3.25	0.50	0.00	2.75	2.25	3.00	3.25	0.75	20.75

Notes:

Existing path runs between trees and is waterlogged at times.

Project: Mis-23

Description: Path Under US 101 from San Luis Drive to Cuesta Park.

Intent: To allow pedestrian and bicyclist access under the freeway to Loomis Street and Cuesta Park.

Class: I

School zone: HA

Pave section: 1 8

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	1	2	5	1	0	3	0	3	1	1	17
2 Jean	1	3	5	1	0	2	0	2	2	2	18
3 Ben	2	3	4	2	0	4	0	4	2	2	23
4 Glen	1	3	4	1	0	3	1	3	1	3	20
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	1.25	2.75	4.50	1.25	0.00	3.00	0.25	3.00	1.50	2.00	19.50

Notes: Will require CalTrans, Fish and Game and Corps of Engineers approval.

Project: Mis 24

Connection over South Hills from Exposition Drive to Margarita SP area. Would be partially constructed by developers/subdivisions on the south side of the hills.

Description:

In accordance with BAC declaration, "New Construction Intent", under Bikeways; general policies, all new residential and commercial construction developments/subdivisions shall include safe bicycle routes to encourage their use as a main mode of transportation.

Intent:

Class: 1 2

School zone: HA

Pave section: 3

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	3	38
2 Jean	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	5	40
3 Ben	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	3	38
4 Glen	3	3	5	2	1	3	3	4	3	3	30
5 Phil	5	5	5	5	1	5	1	5	5	3	40
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.40	0.40	4.60	0.80	4.80	4.60	3.40	37.20

Notes: 1/05 This is currently included on bike plans bike route the map.

Project: Mis 25

Description: Orcutt Area Bikeways. Install Class I and II bike ways in the Orcutt Area, consistent with its adopted specific plan, when subdivisions are processed.

Intent: In accordance with BAC declaration, "New Construction Intent", under Bikeways; general policies, all new residential and commercial construction developments/subdivisions shall include safe bicycle routes to encourage their use as a main mode of transportation.

Class: 1 2

School zone: SI

Pave section: 2

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	3	38
2 Jean	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	5	40
3 Ben	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	3	38
4 Glen	5	5	3	3	1	4	3	5	3	4	36
5 Phil	5	5	5	5	2	5	3	5	5	4	44
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	0.60	4.80	1.20	5.00	4.60	3.80	39.20

Notes:

Project: Mis 26

Description: Margarita Area Bikeways. Install Class I and II bikeways in the Margarita Area, consistent with its adopted specific plan, when subdivisions are processed.

Intent: In accordance with BAC declaration, "New Construction Intent", under Bikeways; general policies, all new residential and commercial construction developments/subdivisions shall include safe bicycle routes to encourage their use as a main mode of transportation.

Class: 1 2

School zone: H

Pave section: 3

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	3	38
2 Jean	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	5	40
3 Ben	5	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	3	38
4 Glen	5	5	3	3	1	4	3	5	3	4	36
5 Phil	5	5	5	5	2	5	3	5	5	5	45
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	0.60	4.80	1.20	5.00	4.60	4.00	39.40

Notes:

Project: Mis 27

Description: Chorro Street Edge Stripes (Lincoln to Foothill).

Intent:

Class:

School zone:

Pave section:

Completed 11/04

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementati</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	<i>TOTALS</i>
1 Kevin	5	4	4	4	1	2	5	5	4	1	35
2 Jean	5	3	4	4	1	2	5	5	4	3	36
3 Ben	4	4	4	4	3	3	5	5	5	2	39
4											0
5											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	1.67	2.33	5.00	5.00	4.33	2.00	36.67

Notes:

Project: Mis-28

Description: Install Class II bike lanes on Monterey Street from Santa Rosa to U.S. 101.

Intent: To provide room for bicycles and motor vehicles to use the lanes at the same time.

Class: II

School zone: HA

Pave section: 1

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	5	3	2	5	1	3	4	1	34
2 Jean	5	5	5	4	2	4	2	4	4	5	40
3 Ben	4	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	2	39
4 Glen	3	5	3	4	0	4	1	4	4	2	30
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.25	5.00	4.25	3.75	1.75	4.50	1.75	3.75	4.25	2.50	35.75

Notes: This could be provided by removal of curb parking on one side of the street.

Project: Mis 29

Description: Highland-Patricia: Add a Centerline along with "Shared Lane Chevron".
(Route 1 to Foothill)

Intent: The intent of this project is to help slow the traffic speeds on these streets and educate both the motorists and bicyclists of the proper lane position for bicyclists.

Class: III

School zone: BP

Pave section: 7 8

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	4	2	2	4	3	2	3	5	0	27
2 Jean	3	4	4	3	2	4	2	4	5	4	35
3 Ben	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	5	0	32
4 Glen	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	33
5 Phil	4	4	2	4	4	4	3	4	4	2	35
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	3.20	3.80	2.80	3.40	3.20	3.40	2.60	3.60	4.60	1.80	32.40

Notes: These two streets have become an alternate route for traffic to and from Cal Poly. With higher than posted travel speeds common and heavy on-street parking, they can be challenging for cyclists. Of particular concern are the two elementary schools located

Project: OBP-1

Description: Transportation information kiosk in Railroad Square.

Intent: Replace existing bulletin board at the Amtrack station with something that can accommodate information for all transportation modes.

Class: other

School zone:

Pave section: 2

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	1	0	2	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	9
2 Jean	0	0	1	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	9
3 Ben	1	0	2	0	3	1	2	0	1	0	10
4 Glen	0	0	1	0	3	1	2	0	0	3	10
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	0.50	0.00	1.50	0.00	3.00	1.25	1.50	0.25	0.50	1.00	9.50

Notes:

Project: OBP 2

Description: Provide additional downtown bicycle parking as needed. Survey the arrangement of downtown land uses and provide bike racks at high-demand locations where pedestrian traffic will not be obstructed.

Intent: To provide additional bicycle parking downtown to encourage people to use bicycles instead of cars

Class: NA

School zone: NA

Pave section:

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	4	1	2	1	0	3	1	2	0	2	16
2 Jean	5	2	0	2	0	4	1	1	0	2	17
3 Ben	5	1	3	1	2	3	2	0	0	2	19
4 Glen	3	1	3	1	2	3	3	0	0	1	17
5 Phil	5	4	3	4	2	4	3	2	0	1	28
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.40	1.80	2.20	1.80	1.20	3.40	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.60	19.40

Notes: Kevin: I don't feel that this should be listed as a project. It should be contained as part of the parking section of the bike plan. 10/25 per Peggy: OBP items are new to the Plan and we should discuss them. - Jean: Downtown bicycle parking needs to be supported and encouraged by the City, the Downtown Association [Parking Committee] and downtown businesses.

Project: OBP 3

City-Wide Bicycle Parking Retrofit Program. Offer to purchase bike racks and lockers for existing businesses outside the downtown, if they agree to install and maintain them.

Description:

To provide bicycle parking for citywide commercial and multiple-residential (apartment) complexes that have poor/none/limited.

Intent:

Class: NA

School zone: ALL

Pave section: NA

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	4	1	2	1	0	3	1	2	1	2	17
2 Jean	5	4	2	1	0	3	3	0	4	2	24
3 Ben	5	1	1	1	1	3	3	0	0	2	17
4 Glen	3	1	1	1	1	2	3	0	0	1	13
5 Phil	5	2	2	3	1	4	4	0	0	0	21
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.40	1.80	1.60	1.40	0.60	3.00	2.80	0.40	1.00	1.40	18.40

Notes:

Kevin: This project needs to be clarified. As it reads, it sounds like we want to go through and replace all parking facilities that are substandard or worn out. But, it doesn't specify the standard. Perhaps this shouldn't be listed as a project and should be contained as part of the parking section of the bike plan. 10/25 per Peggy: OBP items are new to the Plan and we should discuss them. Jean: Property owners need to be involved in providing appropriate parking for employees, residents and customers. If any retrofit program is developed, it can be a joint effort of the city and property owners.

Project: OBP4

Description: City Park Bicycle Parking. Survey all existing City parks and identify bicycle parking needs and opportunities; install bike racks as needed.

Intent: Provide convenient bicycle parking for all park users who travel by bicycle.

Class: NA

School zone: NA

Pave section: All

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	1	5	1	0	4	1	1	0	3	18
2 Jean	2	3	5	3	1	5	4	0	2	4	29
3 Ben	1	1	5	1	2	5	3	0	0	1	19
4 Glen	1	1	4	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	11
5 Phil	4	1	5	1	2	5	4	0	0	0	22
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	2.00	1.40	4.80	1.20	1.00	4.20	3.00	0.20	0.40	1.60	19.80

Notes: There is a noticeable lack of bicycle parking, convenient or otherwise, at all City parks.

Project: OBP 5

Description: Community Bicycle Program: Evaluate the feasibility of a community-wide "free" bike program and implement program within City's urban reserve.

Intent:

Class:

School zone:

Pave section:

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin											0
2 Jean	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 Ben											0
4 Glen	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00

Notes: This was Mark's idea, based on "yellow bike" programs in other cities and in Amsterdam. I don't think it is worthwhile to pursue this in San Luis Obispo. [JA]

Project: OBP 6

Install "Bike Route" signs on targeted Class III routes. In addition to new signs, survey existing Class II routes and remove excessive signage (where there are more than 1 sign per 300 feet).

Description:

Intent:

Class:

School zone:

Pave section:

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	9
2 Jean	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	5
3 Ben											0
4 Glen	1	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	7
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.33	0.67	0.67	7.00

Notes: This project is currently in the wrong section (that is just my opinion). 10/25 per Peggy: OBP items are new to the Plan and we should discuss them. KC - Is this necessary, will it actually help cycling in SLO in any way?

Project: Cerro Romauldo Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. From Patricia to Ferrini. The Stop sign at Tassajara will have to swap direction to allow for continuous flow. Traffic calming will be required to reduce existing speeds and possible increase due to stop direction change at Tassajara.

Intent: To provide an alternate route to Foothill for E/W travel on this side of town. Directly serves two elementary schools.

Class: BB

School zone: BP

Pave section: 7

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	4	4	2	5	0	3	5	0	33
2 Jean	5	4	4	5	1	5	1	4	5	3	37
3 Ben	5	4	4	4	3	5	3	4	4	1	37
4 Glen	5	4	3	3	1	4	3	4	5	2	34
5 Phil	5	5	3	4	2	5	3	3	5	0	35
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	5.00	4.40	3.60	4.00	1.80	4.80	2.00	3.60	4.80	1.20	35.20

Notes: Relationship to other projects: Installation of traffic signal on Foothill at Ferrini and/or Class 1 connection of Cerro Romauldo from Ferrini to Chorro.

Project: Cerro Romauldo Class I

Description: Create a Class 1 path to extend Cerro Romauldo from Ferrini to N. Chorro.

Intent: To connect the proposed Cerro Romauldo Bike Blvd. To N. Chorro which will be a safer intersection than dumping the BB to the unsignalized intersection of Ferrini and Foothill.

Class: I

School zone: BP

Pave section: 7

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	4	5	2	5	0	3	5	0	34
2 Jean	5	5	4	5	1	5	0	4	5	1	35
3 Ben	5	5	4	4	1	5	2	3	3	1	33
4 Glen	5	5	3	4	1	5	1	4	4	2	34
5 Phil	5	5	3	4	2	5	1	4	4	1	34
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	5.00	5.00	3.60	4.40	1.40	5.00	0.80	3.60	4.20	1.00	34.00

Notes: The specific route for this path has not been determined as the city does not currently own the property necessary to complete it. The route is dependent on which properties the city can acquire. This project has a direct relationship to the Installation of a traffic signal on Foothill at Ferrini project. If the Foothill/Ferrini signal is in place, then this proposed project would not be necessary. Other project relationships exist with the Creation of the Cerro Romauldo Bike Blvd.

Project: Foothill Signal

Description: Install a traffic signal at the intersection of Foothill and Ferrini.

Intent: To provide safe crossing of Foothill as a link to/from the proposed Cerro Romauldo Bike Blvd. Via Ferrini.

Class: other

School zone: BP

Pave section: 7

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	5	5	1	5	0	4	5	0	35
2 Jean	5	4	5	5	1	4	1	4	5	1	35
3 Ben	5	4	4	4	2	4	3	4	4	1	35
4 Glen	4	5	3	4	1	4	1	4	4	2	32
5 Phil	5	5	3	5	2	5	1	5	5	2	38
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.80	4.60	4.00	4.60	1.40	4.40	1.20	4.20	4.60	1.20	35.00

Notes: This project has a direct relationship to the creation of an extension of Cerro Romauldo as a class I path from Ferrini to N. Chorro. If the Class I extension is in place, then this proposed project would not be necessary. There is also a relationship to the proposed creation of Cerro Romauldo as a Bike Blvd.

Project: Tassajara S

Description: Provide connection from Ramona across Foothill at signal.

Intent: Provide travel space for cyclists, especially children accessing both Bishop Peak/Teach and Pacheco Schools, crossing Foothill Blvd.

Class: II

School zone: BP

Pave section: 7

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	4	3	4	1	4	2	5	5	2	35
2 Jean	4	4	3	4	1	4	3	5	5	3	36
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.50	4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	2.50	5.00	5.00	2.50	35.50

Notes: May require on-street parking removal. Has relationship with proposed Cerro Romaldo Bike Blvd.

Project: Tassajara North

Description: Provide connection from Foothill to Cerro Romauldo at signal light.

Intent: Provide travel space for cyclist, especially children accessing both Bishop Peak/Teach and Pacheco Schools, crossing Foothill Blvd.

Class: II

School zone: BP

Pave section: 7

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	4	3	4	1	4	2	5	5	2	35
2 Jean	5	4	3	4	1	4	3	5	5	3	37
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	5.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	2.50	5.00	5.00	2.50	36.00

Notes: May require on-street parking removal. Has relationship with proposed Cerro Romaldo Bike Blvd.

Project: Lemon Street Bicycle Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Lemon, from Santa Rosa Park to Hwy 101.
To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves Cal Poly for the neighborhoods North of Hwy. 101 and East of Santa Rosa.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: BP

Pave section: 8

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	14
2 Jean	4	3	3	3	0	3	1	1	2	1	21
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	3.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	0.00	2.50	0.50	1.50	2.00	0.50	17.50

Notes: Connects with proposed Casa St. Bike Blvd. Via Santa Rosa Park and the proposed Toro St. Bike Blvd. Via the proposed grade separated crossing for Hwy 101 from Toro to Lemon. The grade separated crossing is key to this project.

Project: Casa St. Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Casa, from Santa Rosa Park to Murray.
To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves Cal Poly for the neighborhoods North of Hwy. 101 and East of Santa Rosa.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: BP

Pave section: 8

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	14
2 Jean	3	1	2	1	0	1	0	4	4	3	19
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	2.50	1.50	2.00	1.50	0.00	1.50	0.00	3.00	3.00	1.50	16.50

Notes: Relationship with connection to Lemon St. Bike Blvd. Connects via Santa Rosa Park and the proposed Toro St. Bike Blvd. via the proposed grade separated crossing for Hwy 101 from Toro to Lemon. The grade separated crossing is key to this project.

Project: Toro to Lemon G.S.Xing 101

Description: Create a Grade Separated Crossing from Toro St. to Lemon St. across Hwy 101.

To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves Cal Poly for the neighborhoods East of Santa Rosa and other downtown bike route connectors.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: BP

Pave section: 8

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	3	3	3	3	0	3	0	4	2	0	21
2 Jean	4	3	3	3	0	4	0	4	3	3	27
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	3.50	0.00	4.00	2.50	1.50	24.00

Notes: Relationships with Lemon St. Bike Blvd., Toro St. Bike Blvd. and Casa St. Bike Blvd. This project is the key to its related projects.

Project: Toro St. Bicycle Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Toro, from Leff to Hwy. 101.

Intent: To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves the uptown neighborhoods East of the downtown core.

Class: BB

School zone: HA

Pave section: 1

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	14
2 Jean	3	2	3	2	0	3	0	3	2	2	20
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.00	0.00	2.50	0.00	2.50	2.00	1.00	17.00

Notes: Connects with proposed Leff St. Bicycle Blvd. Related to: proposed Lemon St. Bike Blvd., proposed Casa St. Bike Blvd. and proposed grade separated crossing of Hwy. 101 at Toro and Lemon. The grade separated crossing is key to this project. [Jean: There might be a need for some traffic lights along Toro.]

Project: Beach St. Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Beach, from High St. to Pacific St.

To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves the downtown core, for the neighborhoods west of Broad and South of the downtown core.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: HA

Pave section: 4

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	3	0	12
2 Jean	2	3	1	4	0	3	0	3	5	1	22
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.50	0.00	2.00	1.00	2.50	4.00	0.50	17.00

Notes: Jean: I ride this route regularly, both to get to High Street and cross South. I'm not sure that it deserves any special treatment. I rarely see any cyclists on it. If it is to serve the downtown core, why stop at Pacific? Why not connect to Marsh? Because of visibility, there should be a 4-way stop at the Beach/Pacific intersection.

Project: Leff St. Bicycle Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Leff, from Beach to Toro streets.

Intent: To provide a low traffic impact East/West through route for bicyclists that serves the downtown neighborhoods South of the downtown core.

Class: BB

School zone: HA

Pave section: 1 4

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin											0
2 Jean											0
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	0.00

Notes: Connects with proposed Beach St. Bicycle Blvd. and proposed Toro St. bicycle Blvd. (Without a light at Broad, does this make sense?)

Project: King Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along King, from South to High St.

To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves the downtown core for neighborhoods South of the downtown core and West of Broad St.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: HA

Pave section: 4

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	3	4	0	17
2 Jean	3	2	2	2	0	2	0	4	4	1	20
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	2.50	1.50	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.50	3.50	4.00	0.50	18.50

Notes: Connects with proposed Leff St. Bicycle Blvd and proposed Beach St. Bicycle Blvd.

Project: Pacific Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Pacific, from Higuera st. to Santa Rosa st.

To provide a low traffic impact West bound through route for bicyclists that serves the downtown core and downtown neighborhoods South of Marsh st.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: HA

Pave section: 4

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin											0
2 Jean											0
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	0.00

Notes: Connects with proposed Leff St. Bicycle Blvd and proposed Beach St. Bicycle Blvd. (Without a light at Broad, does this make sense?) Garden is another concern.

Project: Broad St. Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Broad, from Monterey St. to Murray.
 To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves the downtown core, for neighborhoods North of downtown core.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: HA BP

Pave section: 4 9

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	4	3	4	4	1	4	0	4	5	1	30
2 Jean	3	3	3	3	0	3	0	5	5	2	27
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	3.50	3.00	3.50	3.50	0.50	3.50	0.00	4.50	5.00	1.50	28.50

Notes: Will require traffic calming to reduce speeds. Has a relationship with Mis14: Grade separated crossing of Hwy. 101 connecting North and South segments of Broad street. (Why does this not go all the way to Foothill or at least Ramona.)

Project: Fixlini Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Fixlini, from Lizzie to 2004 eastern terminus.

To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves the Johnson St. neighborhoods through to San Luis High and upper Monterey Street area.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: HA

Pave section: 1

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	3	3	3	3	0	3	0	3	4	1	23
2 Jean	3	3	1	3	0	4	1	3	4	2	24
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	0.00	3.50	0.50	3.00	4.00	1.50	23.50

Notes: Connects to proposed Ella Street Bicycle Blvds. and Mis 9 (proposed class I bikeway from north end of Flora, across County and church property to Fixlini.)

Project: Ella East Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Ella, from Johnson St. class II, to Fixlini.
 To provide a low traffic impact East/West through route for bicyclists that serves the downtown core, downtown neighborhoods and neighborhoods East of Johnson St.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: SI

Pave section: 2

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin											0
2 Jean											0
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	###	0.00

Notes: Connects to Johnson Class 2 on the West end and proposed Fixlini BB project on the East end which connects to the Jennefer St. Bridge.
 (Without a light at Johnson, does this make sense?)

Project: Ella West Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Ella, from Johnson St. class II, to Jennifer St.

To provide a low traffic impact East/West through route for bicyclists that serves the downtown core, downtown neighborhoods and Johnson St. neighborhoods.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: SI

Pave section: 2

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin											0
2 Jean	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	4	3	2	15
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	1.00	1.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	7.50

Notes: Connects to Jennifer St. BB and Jennifer St. Bridge on the West end.
 Connects to Johnson Class 2 on the East end and Ella East BB project.
 Will require traffic calming to reduce existing speeds. (Without a light at Johnson, does this make sense?)

Project: Jennifer Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Jennifer, from Ella to George.

Intent: Provide a connection from the proposed Ella St. Bike Blvd. to the Jennifer St. bridge.

Class: BB

School zone: SI

Pave section: 2

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	10
2 Jean											0
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	10.00

Notes:

Project: Flora Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. along Flora, from Bishop to Southwood.
 To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves the neighborhoods North of Johnson St., through to both the proposed Ella St. BB East/West downtown and San Luis High/upper Monterey Street areas.

Intent:

Class: BB

School zone: SI

Pave section: 2

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	3	3	5	3	0	3	1	2	5	0	25
2 Jean	3	3	4	3	0	3	1	3	5	0	25
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	3.00	3.00	4.50	3.00	0.00	3.00	1.00	2.50	5.00	0.00	25.00

Notes: Will require traffic calming to reduce existing speeds. Connects with proposed Mis 9 (class I bikeway from north end of Flora, across County and church property to Fixlini) and proposed Ella Street Bicycle Blvds. Also has a relationship to proposed Sequoia BB.

Project: Sequoia Bike Blvd.

Description: Create a Bike Blvd. Along Sequoia, from Southwood to the southern City limits.

Intent: To provide a low traffic impact North/South through route for bicyclists that serves the neighborhoods North of Johnson St., connecting via the proposed Flora st. BB, through to both the proposed Ella St. BB East/West downtown and San Luis High/upper Monterey Street areas.

Class: BB

School zone: SI

Pave section: 2

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	2	2	4	2	0	2	1	2	3	3	21
2 Jean											0
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	21.00

Notes: Connects with the proposed Flora st. BB, the proposed Mis 9 (class I bikeway from north end of Flora, across County and church property to Fixlini) and proposed Ella Street Bicycle Blvds. At this point (early 2005) the city plans to push through to Tiburon. This project could connect to Tiburon which opens up further neighborhoods for commuting this route and links to regional bicycling routes on Orcutt.

Project: Sacramento Class I

Description: Provide a connection from the northern end of Acacia Class I (MUP) at Sacramento to proposed Orcutt Class I.

Intent: Provide connectivity with exiting Class I and RR Safety trail via Class I

Class: I

School zone: SI

Pave section: 3

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	4	4	5	3	0	4	2	5	3	4	34
2 Jean	4	4	5	3	0	4	1	3	4	4	32
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	0.00	4.00	1.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	33.00

Notes: Through route from present terminus of Acacia Creek Class I to connect to the present RR Safety trail via proposed Orcutt class I.

Project: Orcutt Class I

Description: Provide a connection from the Railroad Safety Trail to the Proposed Sacramento Class I (MUP).

Intent: Provide connectivity with exiting RR Safety trail via Class I to proposed Sacramento Class I and further connections via the Acacia Crk. Class I to the proposed Prado St. Class I.

Class: I

School zone: SI

Pave section: 3

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	4	4	5	3	0	4	2	5	3	4	34
2 Jean	4	4	5	4	0	4	2	5	4	4	36
3 Ben											0
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.50	0.00	4.00	2.00	5.00	3.50	4.00	35.00

Notes: Key to making the Sacramento St. class I a usable link with the RR Safety trail.

Project: Perfumo Creek grade separated crossing, Class 1

Description: Bridge from Oceanaire to Vista del Lago over creek.

Intent: Provide safe connectivity to schools between neighborhoods and diverting pedestrians from use of LOVR.

Class: 1

School zone: SM

Pave section: 6

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	5	5	4	0	5	1	4	5	0	34
2 Jean	3	3	4	3	1	4	1	4	4	2	29
3 Ben	2	3	3	2	1	4	2	4	4	0	25
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	3.33	3.67	4.00	3.00	0.67	4.33	1.33	4.00	4.33	0.67	29.33

Notes: City does not currently own land or easements needed for crossing.

Project: Prado East extension to Broad

Description: A class 1 bike path from the East end of Prado continuing to Broad street.

Intent: Provide crosstown connectivity from the Existing Eastern Terminus of Prado road to Broad street.

Class: 1

School zone: HA

Pave section: 3

Name (optional)	Commuting	Safety	Recreational	Traffic Flow	Educates	Encourages	Implementation	Links	Schools	Regional	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	4	4	4	2	4	3	5	5	4	40
2 Jean	3	4	4	3	1	3	2	4	4	4	32
3 Ben	5	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	4	3	42
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.33	4.33	4.00	3.67	2.00	4.00	3.00	4.67	4.33	3.67	38.00

Notes: Connects with the existing Acacia Creek and the proposed Sacramento MUP on the East end, and the proposed Prado road to Oceanaire on the West End. Project needs to address bicycles crossing Broad street in both directions using the Acacia street MUP and the Prado street MUP. Grade Separated Crossing (under)?

Project: Existing East end of Prado to Oceanaire Class 1

A class 1 bike path from the East end of Prado over proposed grade separated crossing of US101 on to Dalidio and then SouthEast along Madonna Road to the intersection of Oceanaire

Description:

Provide connectivity from the Existing Eastern Terminus of Prado road as a main connector across town to Shopping and, most notably, our single Middle School.

Intent:

Class: 1

School zone: SM HA

Pave section: 5

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	5	4	4	4	2	4	3	5	5	4	40
2 Jean	3	4	4	4	2	4	2	5	4	5	37
3 Ben	5	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	5	4	44
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	2.33	4.33	3.00	5.00	4.67	4.33	40.33

Notes: The Prado crossing is the achilles heal here. If it does not happen then there will not be any need for the Dalidio connection. The need for a better route will then focus on Madonna all the way from Oceanaire to Higuera.

Project: Industrial at RR tracks, Grade separated crossing

Description: A grade separation crossing from the end of Industrial to the Railroad Safety Trail

Provide safe connectivity from the Tank Farm and Sacramento area developments through to the Railroad Safety trail and Orcutt street improvements. This crossing provides a safe connection for both neighborhoods and businesses, and bypasses the need to travel on the higher volume traffic connector - Broad Street (SR 227).

Intent:

Class: 1

School zone: HA SI

Pave section: 3

<i>Name (optional)</i>	<i>Commuting</i>	<i>Safety</i>	<i>Recreational</i>	<i>Traffic Flow</i>	<i>Educates</i>	<i>Encourages</i>	<i>Implementation</i>	<i>Links</i>	<i>Schools</i>	<i>Regional</i>	TOTALS
1 Kevin	4	4	4	1	0	3	1	3	4	1	25
2 Jean	4	4	4	2	0	3	2	3	3	1	26
3 Ben	3	4	4	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	26
4 Glen											0
5 Phil											0
6											0
7											0
avg. SCORE	3.67	4.00	4.00	1.33	0.33	3.00	1.67	3.00	3.33	1.33	25.67

Notes: Montelbahn bridge anyone? Bueller? Bueller?

ATTACHMENT 3

From: Kevin Christian <[redacted]>
To: <[redacted]>
Date: 1/3/05 5:01PM
Subject: Re: New construction intent for plan

Peggy,

ATTACHED

Just to clarify where this would go in the plan. It would go on Pg. 10, under Bikeways, and replace paragraph 1.5. Note, below I have put the text which has been proposed for a few of the bike projects which would refer to this.

SUBCOMMITTEE
PROPOSED
LANGUAGE

"In accordance with BAC declaration, "New Construction Intent", under Bikeways; general policies, all new residential and commercial construction developments/subdivisions shall include safe bicycle routes to encourage their use as a main mode of transportation."

Kevin

On Jan 3, 2005, at 9:14 AM, [redacted]:

- >
- > Hello Peggy and Happy Holidays to you.
- >
- > In addressing some of the priorities on our sub-committee list we
- > have found the need to develop a generic statement regarding new
- > construction and the integration of bicycle friendliness. We would
- > like to submit the following intent for adoption into the plan, so
- > that our recommendations can have some consistency and reference on
- > priority projects. Please inform me if there is any additional
- > information needed to include this with the information for our next
- > meeting.
- >
- > Best,
- >
- > Ben Lerner

SUBCOMMITTEE
PROPOSED LANGUAGE

New Construction Intent:

All new residential and commercial construction developments/subdivisions shall be designed with bicycle use as an equal and viable option for transportation to, from, and within the development. Access shall include;

- Bicycle routes to schools serving the community
- Shared roadway with class 2 bike lanes, or
- Class 1 bike paths
- Approved bicycle parking as referenced in the Bicycle Transportation Plan's, short term bike parking standards

APPENDIX K: Setting Priorities & Financial Planning for Bike Routes

Creating bicycle facilities proposed by this plan will be constrained by the availability of funding. While there are a number of competitive State and Federal grant programs that can provide support, the amount of funds needed by the City to complete the community's bike route network (\$49,000,000) is out of scale with most available grant programs. The City has been modestly successful in receiving grant funds to build bike routes and has spent about \$3.2 million over a seven-year period (using mostly grants with some local funds), the equivalent of \$470,000 per year.

One way of reducing implementation costs to the City is to require bike routes to be designed and installed as part of new development. To a large degree, this strategy is proposed within the urban expansion areas at the south end of the community and for major projects on "infill" properties within the urban reserve. The limitation of this strategy is that desirable bike route links may be tied to the pace of outward community growth and take years to accomplish. The City may choose to accelerate the development of a particular bike route link when it addresses a current critical need for bicycle commuting.

The two issues related to implementing segments of the route network are the priority of the route and funding available. The priority of the route is based on a qualitative assessment of the overall benefit that it would accord for considerations of safety and convenience. It doesn't necessarily reflect expected timing of the improvement, which may be tied to available funding or other outstanding variables. While some routes have higher priority than others, some lower priority projects may be more practical to implement sooner, due to fewer constraints. Considerations for this could be (but are not limited to):

- Timing with other related improvements, and/or success in obtaining competitive funding;
- Cooperation between local agencies in the selection of priority projects and the allocation of local funding;
- Projects that can be implemented expediently;
- The readiness of each project in terms of local support;
- California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) approvals;
- Right-of-way control;
- Completion of projects currently funded or in progress; and
- Projects that would help complete the Railroad Safety or Bob Jones City to the Sea Trails.

The following priorities describe the emphasis that will be placed on implementing various bike route projects. However, work may proceed on projects with lower priority ratings when opportunities present themselves. Should a project not be ready or able to utilize allocated funding, it may trade with another short-term project. Also, bike routes shown on private property that is subject to development or redevelopment shall be installed no later than at the time development occurs, unless guaranteed by the

developers for installation at a later time. The Bicycle Advisory Committee and staff should monitor the projects identified in this Plan and update them yearly for new projects, completed projects and reassessment of their respective priority status.

Criteria for priorities:

- Facilitates **Commuting**. Note: The State of CA definition of bicycle commuting is, "Any trip taken by bicycle that is not for the purpose of recreation or exercise." Will the project be used by, be an aid to or increase bicycle commuting?
- Facilitates **Safety**. Does the project enhance overall safety in the transportation network? (All forms – bicycles, motor vehicle and pedestrian should be considered.)
- Facilitates **Recreational** riding. Will the project enhance or contribute to an increased use of Recreational cycling?
- Eases/Facilitates **Traffic Flow**. Does the project improve traffic flow considering all users: motor vehicles, pedestrians and bicycles?
- **Educates**. Does the project educate all travelers? Educational efforts can include a large range of projects, from specific education classes to street signage or proper lane placement markings. (i.e., chevron markings in a shared lane)
- **Encourages** Bicycle Use. Does the project provide specific advantages to using a bicycle as the transportation choice? (i.e. parking advantage or travel time to destination)
- Feasible to expect **Adoption-Implementation** within 1-3 years. Is the project something that can be executed in this time frame (based on known available funding or other outstanding variables).
- **Links** access/provides convenience. Will the project improve continuity with existing bike routes? Does the project fill a void such as a lane designation?
- Facilitates bicycle **transportation to Schools**. Does the project directly contribute to safer bike routes to a school?
- Provides **Regional Connectivity**. Does the project provide/improve continuity with existing bike routes that connect to communities outside of San Luis Obispo? i.e. The Bob Jones Trail.

Proposed ranking system:

Using the above criteria,- each project will be ranked using a weighted system . Zero to five points will be assigned for each criteria.

0 = no relevance

1 = very low relevance

2 = medium relevance

3 = moderately high relevance

4 = high relevance

5 = very high relevance

The total of assigned points establishes the project's priority using the following breakdown. It will be the responsibility of the BAC and staff to recommend to City Council establish the priority of each project.

1st. priority = 31 – 50

2nd priority = 16 – 30

3rd priority = 0 – 15